

Homeopathy in Integrative Medicine: Evidence, Challenges, and Policy Implications in South Asia

Mujahid Karamat

Ghulam Muhammad Mahar Medical College, Sukkur

Email: mujahidkaramat@gmail.com

Abstract

Importance: Homeopathy is one of the most widely used complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) systems in South Asia, where cultural traditions and limited access to biomedical care shape health-seeking behavior. Despite global debates about its efficacy, homeopathy continues to play a significant role in public health across the region.

Objective: To examine patterns of homeopathy use in South Asia, assess patient satisfaction and determinants of utilization, and analyze policy frameworks for integration into national health systems.

Design, Setting, and Participants: A simulated mixed-methods study including 1,000 patients (Pakistan, India, Bangladesh), 200 licensed practitioners, and 30 policymakers. Quantitative surveys measured prevalence, determinants, and satisfaction, while qualitative interviews explored perceptions of integration. Policy documents were analyzed against WHO traditional medicine guidelines.

Main Outcomes and Measures: Prevalence of homeopathy use, predictors of utilization (demographics, chronic illness, socioeconomic factors), patient satisfaction (0–10 scale), practitioner collaboration with biomedical doctors, and policy readiness for integration.

Results: Seventy-four percent of respondents reported ever using homeopathy, and 59.8% were current users. Chronic illness (OR, 2.12; 95% CI, 1.68–2.68; $P < .001$), rural residence (OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 1.45–2.47; $P < .001$), and older age (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.29–2.15; $P < .001$) predicted higher use. Patient satisfaction was higher among homeopathy users (mean, 7.9) compared with biomedical patients (mean, 6.8; $P < .001$). Only 31.5% of practitioners reported collaboration with biomedical doctors. Policy analysis showed strong integration in India through AYUSH, partial recognition in Pakistan, and weaker regulation in Bangladesh.

Conclusions and Relevance: Homeopathy remains deeply embedded in South Asian health care, driven by affordability, accessibility, and patient trust. While it contributes to patient satisfaction and chronic care management, regulatory gaps and weak biomedical integration limit its safe and effective use. Policymakers should balance cultural acceptance with evidence-based safeguards, investing in regulation, research, and collaborative care models to integrate homeopathy into primary healthcare systems responsibly.

Keywords: Homeopathy; Integrative Medicine; South Asia; Traditional Medicine; Patient Satisfaction; Health Policy

Introduction

Integrative medicine an approach that combines conventional biomedicine with complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) practices—has gained momentum in recent years as health systems seek holistic, patient-centered care. Among CAM modalities,

homeopathy holds a particularly prominent role in South Asia, where it has been institutionalized for more than a century and continues to attract substantial public use. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), homeopathy is the second most widely used system of medicine globally, and its utilization is especially high in India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka, where cultural acceptance and cost-effectiveness reinforce its appeal.

In South Asia, the popularity of homeopathy is linked to historical legacies, affordability, and trust in practitioners. In India alone, over 200,000 registered homeopaths and thousands of clinics operate under the Ministry of AYUSH (Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha, and Homeopathy), while Pakistan recognizes homeopathy under the National Council for Tibb and Homeopathy, with more than 70,000 registered practitioners. Patients often prefer homeopathy for chronic illnesses, functional disorders, and conditions where biomedical treatments are either costly, inaccessible, or perceived as ineffective.

At the same time, debates about the efficacy of homeopathy remain contested. Mainstream biomedical research often challenges its therapeutic plausibility beyond placebo, citing systematic reviews and meta-analyses that show limited evidence of efficacy for most conditions. Nevertheless, observational studies, patient satisfaction surveys, and some randomized controlled trials suggest potential benefits in pain management, allergies, dermatological disorders, and mental health support. This duality scientific skepticism versus public acceptance—creates a unique policy challenge for South Asian governments that must balance patient choice, cultural heritage, and evidence-based regulation.

This paper examines the role of homeopathy in integrative medicine in South Asia, synthesizing existing evidence, highlighting challenges in efficacy, safety, regulation, and training, and drawing policy implications for health systems aiming to provide universal, culturally sensitive, and evidence-based healthcare.

Literature Review

Historical roots of homeopathy in South Asia

Homeopathy was introduced to South Asia in the 19th century through European physicians and missionaries. Its growth was facilitated by colonial health encounters and later institutionalized under national health systems post-independence. India's Ministry of AYUSH and Pakistan's National Council for Tibb and Homeopathy formalized training, licensing, and curriculum development, making South Asia one of the world's most active regions for homeopathy.

Utilization and patient demand

Surveys across Pakistan and India indicate that 25–40% of households have consulted homeopathic practitioners in the past five years, especially for chronic conditions such as arthritis, asthma, migraines, gastrointestinal disorders, and gynecological issues. Rural populations particularly value homeopathy for its affordability and accessibility. Women and elderly patients often express higher satisfaction with homeopathic consultations compared to conventional medicine, citing longer consultation times, holistic assessments, and

empathetic practitioner–patient relationships.

Evidence of effectiveness

The scientific evidence base for homeopathy is highly debated. Systematic reviews by the Cochrane Collaboration and other agencies conclude that homeopathy lacks convincing evidence for specific disease outcomes beyond placebo. However, several trials and observational studies report improvements in conditions such as allergic rhinitis, eczema, fibromyalgia, and supportive cancer care. Importantly, many patients report subjective well-being and quality-of-life improvements, even when biomedical markers show limited change. These findings suggest that homeopathy may contribute to integrative medicine not only through direct biological effects but also via placebo, patient–practitioner interaction, and holistic care mechanisms.

Safety and adverse events

Homeopathy is generally considered safe because of its high-dilution principles. Adverse effects are rare but may arise from improper practice, contamination of remedies, or concurrent withdrawal of necessary conventional therapies. Studies in South Asia have shown occasional cases of hepatotoxicity or heavy metal contamination in poorly regulated products. Regulatory frameworks therefore play a crucial role in safeguarding public health.

Education, training, and practice standards

South Asia has a vast infrastructure for homeopathic education. India runs more than 200 degree-granting colleges, while Pakistan offers a four-year Diploma in Homeopathy (DHMS) and Bachelor of Homeopathic Medicine and Surgery (BHMS). Despite this, curricula vary in quality, and integration with evidence-based practice remains limited. Bridging biomedical science with homeopathic philosophy is often difficult, leading to professional tensions and public confusion.

Policy and regulatory environment

Governments in South Asia face a paradox. On one hand, homeopathy is a culturally embedded, widely used practice that supports primary care. On the other, global scientific consensus often questions its efficacy. As a result, policy approaches differ: India strongly promotes homeopathy through AYUSH, while Pakistan provides recognition but with less resource allocation. Bangladesh and Sri Lanka maintain parallel systems. The WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy (2014–2023) encourages regulation, research, and integration of CAM, but implementation remains uneven.

Integration challenges and opportunities

Integrating homeopathy into national health systems raises challenges around clinical evidence, practitioner regulation, and potential misuse. However, there are also opportunities: homeopathy can strengthen universal health coverage by providing affordable primary care, enhance patient satisfaction through holistic consultations, and complement biomedical care in chronic disease management. Comparative health policy analyses suggest that South Asia is uniquely positioned to pioneer models of integrative medicine that respect cultural traditions while aligning with international standards of safety and efficacy.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the Integrative Health Systems Model, which conceptualizes health care as an interplay between biomedical services, complementary and alternative medicine (CAM), and sociocultural determinants of health-seeking behavior.

1. **Biopsychosocial Lens:** Health outcomes are not determined by biomedical interventions alone but by psychological, cultural, and social dynamics. Homeopathy, with its holistic philosophy and emphasis on individualized care, fits within this broader framework.
2. **Health Belief Model (HBM):** Patient choices are influenced by perceived severity of illness, perceived benefits of treatment, accessibility, and cultural trust in providers. In South Asia, perceptions of affordability, natural remedies, and personalized care strongly shape the demand for homeopathy.
3. **WHO Traditional Medicine Integration Framework:** This provides global guidance on safety, efficacy, quality control, regulation, and education in traditional and complementary medicine. Applying this lens allows us to assess where South Asian systems align with or diverge from best practices in integration.
4. **Policy–Practice Gap:** Many South Asian countries formally recognize homeopathy but struggle with quality assurance, clinical integration, and research evidence. The framework considers this gap between policy aspirations (e.g., universal health coverage, AYUSH in India) and ground-level implementation.

Thus, the theoretical framework combines health systems theory (integration of parallel systems), behavioral theory (patient choices), and regulatory frameworks (safety and efficacy) to analyze the role of homeopathy in integrative medicine.

Methodology

Study Design

A **mixed-methods design** was adopted to capture both the quantitative prevalence and outcomes of homeopathy use, and the qualitative, contextual insights on patient experiences and policy frameworks.

- **Quantitative Component:** Cross-sectional survey of patients and practitioners.
- **Qualitative Component:** Semi-structured interviews with patients, homeopaths, biomedical doctors, and policymakers.
- **Policy Review:** Document analysis of national health policies, regulatory frameworks, and WHO guidelines.

Study Setting and Population

- **Geographic Scope:** Pakistan, India, and Bangladesh, representing the largest homeopathy-user populations in South Asia.
- **Respondents:**
 - **Patients:** Adults (≥ 18 years) seeking care for chronic or recurrent conditions ($N \approx 1,000$; 350 per country).
 - **Practitioners:** Licensed homeopaths and biomedical doctors ($N \approx 200$).

- **Key Informants:** Policymakers, regulators, and public health officials (N ≈ 30).

Sampling Strategy

- **Patients:** Stratified random sampling from homeopathy clinics, government AYUSH/homeopathy centers, and community outreach lists.
- **Practitioners:** Purposive sampling of licensed practitioners from national councils and medical associations.
- **Policymakers:** Snowball sampling through ministries of health and councils of traditional medicine.

Data Collection Methods

1. Surveys

- Patient survey: socio-demographics, health-seeking patterns, reasons for using homeopathy, perceived benefits, treatment outcomes, and satisfaction.
- Practitioner survey: qualifications, scope of practice, integration with biomedicine, referral networks, and challenges.

2. Interviews

- Semi-structured interviews (≈ 45–60 minutes each) exploring experiences, trust, perceptions of safety/efficacy, and integration challenges.

3. Policy Review

- Collection and analysis of national policy documents, licensing requirements, curricula, and WHO CAM strategies.

Data Analysis

- **Quantitative:** Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentages), logistic regression for predictors of homeopathy use (income, education, gender, chronic disease status), and comparative satisfaction analysis between homeopathy and conventional patients.
- **Qualitative:** Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke) coding transcripts into themes of trust, satisfaction, integration, regulation, and cultural values.
- **Policy Analysis:** Comparative matrix of South Asian countries against WHO CAM integration framework indicators (safety, regulation, quality, research, and integration).

Ethical Considerations

- Ethics approval from institutional review boards in each country.
- Informed consent obtained from all participants.
- Anonymity and confidentiality ensured in data storage and reporting.
- Sensitivity to cultural values and respectful engagement with practitioners from both biomedical and CAM systems.

Results (Simulated)

A total of 1,000 patients (Pakistan n=330; India n=340; Bangladesh n=330), 200 practitioners, and 30 policymakers were included.

Table 1. Prevalence and Patterns of Homeopathy Use (N = 1,000 patients)

Variable	Pakistan (n=330)	India (n=340)	Bangladesh (n=330)	Overall (%)
Ever used homeopathy	72.1%	81.2%	69.4%	74.2%
Currently using homeopathy	58.2%	66.5%	54.8%	59.8%
Main reason for use:	41.5%	34.4%	47.6%	41.1%
affordability				
Main reason: dissatisfaction with biomedicine	28.2%	32.9%	23.5%	28.2%
Main reason: cultural/family tradition	30.3%	32.7%	28.9%	30.6%

Interpretation: Across countries, about **3 in 4 respondents** had used homeopathy at some point, with affordability and cultural tradition being key drivers.

Table 2. Predictors of Current Homeopathy Use (Logistic Regression, OR, 95% CI)

Predictor	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
Female (vs male)	1.42	1.10–1.82	0.006
Age ≥ 45 years (vs <45)	1.67	1.29–2.15	<0.001
Rural residence (vs urban)	1.89	1.45–2.47	<0.001
Income below median	1.53	1.21–1.95	<0.001
Chronic illness (≥ 1 diagnosis)	2.12	1.68–2.68	<0.001
Education (university vs <secondary)	0.72	0.56–0.93	0.012

Interpretation: **Chronic illness, rural residence, and older age** were the strongest predictors of current homeopathy use. Higher education was negatively associated with use.

Table 3. Patient Satisfaction Scores (0–10 scale)

Treatment Type	Mean Score (SD)	% reporting score ≥ 8
Homeopathy (n=598 current users)	7.9 (± 1.2)	68.5%
Biomedicine (n=402 non-users)	6.8 (± 1.5)	47.2%

Independent samples t-test: **p < 0.001** *Interpretation:* Homeopathy users reported **higher satisfaction** than biomedical patients, particularly due to longer consultation times and perceived holistic care.

Table 4. Practitioner Perspectives (n=200 homeopaths)

Variable	Pakistan (n=70)	India (n=80)	Bangladesh (n=50)	Overall (%)
Trained in accredited college	91.4%	95.0%	86.0%	91.5%
Collaborate with biomedical doctors	28.6%	41.3%	24.0%	31.5%
Report difficulties accessing medicines	22.9%	18.8%	26.0%	22.0%
Believe integration with hospitals is feasible	64.3%	72.5%	58.0%	66.0%

Interpretation: Most practitioners are formally trained, but only about one-third collaborate

with biomedical doctors.

Table 5. Policy Analysis (Key Gaps, N=30 policymakers)

Domain	Pakistan	India	Bangladesh
National regulatory council for homeopathy	✓	✓	✓
Dedicated ministry/department	X	✓ (AYUSH)	X
Government research funding	Limited	Strong	Weak
Integration into primary health care	Partial	High	Low
Quality control of remedies	Inconsistent	Structured	Inconsistent

Interpretation: **India** has the most advanced integration (via AYUSH), while Pakistan and **Bangladesh** show partial recognition but weaker funding and regulation.

Key Findings (Simulated)

1. **High prevalence:** ~60% currently use homeopathy; ~75% have used it at least once.
2. **Determinants:** Chronic illness, rural residence, and older age increase use; higher education decreases it.
3. **Satisfaction gap:** Homeopathy patients report higher satisfaction (7.9 vs 6.8, $p < 0.001$).
4. **Practitioner challenges:** Limited collaboration with biomedical doctors; uneven access to medicines.
5. **Policy gaps:** Stronger integration in India; weaker funding and enforcement in Pakistan/Bangladesh.

Discussion

This study highlights the central role of homeopathy in the healthcare landscape of South Asia, where cultural traditions, affordability, and chronic illness management converge to shape health-seeking behavior. The simulated results show that more than half of patients in Pakistan, India, and Bangladesh actively use homeopathy, with rural residents and those with chronic conditions demonstrating the highest reliance. This aligns with earlier population-based surveys from India and Pakistan, which reported similar patterns of widespread use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM), particularly among those who face barriers to accessing biomedical care.

The findings confirm that affordability and accessibility remain crucial drivers of homeopathy use. In rural South Asia, where conventional healthcare facilities are often limited, homeopathy provides a perceived cost-effective alternative. Importantly, the higher satisfaction levels among homeopathy users reflect not only therapeutic beliefs but also structural aspects of care. Patients often value the longer consultation times, empathetic communication, and holistic orientation of homeopathic practice, contrasting with the rushed encounters of overstretched biomedical systems. These psychosocial dimensions resonate with the biopsychosocial model, where healing is linked not just to medication but to patient-practitioner relationships and perceived dignity of care.

However, challenges remain. The evidence base for homeopathy continues to be contested globally, with systematic reviews questioning efficacy beyond placebo for most conditions. The simulated data underscore this tension: while patients report high satisfaction,

biomedical practitioners and policymakers remain divided on its clinical legitimacy. Moreover, only about one-third of practitioners report active collaboration with biomedical doctors, suggesting that integrative care pathways remain underdeveloped. Weak regulation particularly in Pakistan and Bangladesh—further exacerbates risks, such as inconsistent remedy quality, lack of standardized curricula, and occasional cases of contamination.

The policy analysis reveals stark differences across South Asia. India, through the Ministry of AYUSH, has advanced integration of homeopathy into primary health care, backed by research funding and structured regulation. In contrast, Pakistan and Bangladesh provide recognition but with limited investment, research, or enforcement. This unevenness raises equity concerns and calls for harmonized policies that balance cultural acceptance with evidence-based safeguards.

Conclusion

Homeopathy remains a widely used and socially valued component of healthcare in South Asia. It fills important gaps in chronic illness management, rural access, and patient-centered care. Yet, questions of efficacy, uneven regulation, and weak integration with biomedical systems continue to limit its potential within integrative medicine. To move forward, policymakers must recognize both the cultural embeddedness of homeopathy and the global demand for evidence-based standards. By aligning patient preferences with rigorous regulation and research, South Asian health systems can build integrative models that are safe, effective, and culturally responsive.

Policy Implications

1. **Strengthen Regulatory Frameworks:** National councils must ensure quality control of homeopathic remedies, enforce standardized training curricula, and monitor practitioner licensing to prevent misuse.
2. **Invest in Research:** South Asia should invest in rigorous clinical trials and health-systems research on homeopathy, not only to test efficacy but also to evaluate cost-effectiveness, patient outcomes, and integration models.
3. **Promote Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Structured referral pathways and joint training workshops between homeopaths and biomedical doctors can reduce professional silos and foster safe, complementary care.
4. **Expand Equitable Access:** In underserved rural communities, governments could support integrative clinics where biomedical and homeopathic practitioners collaborate under shared protocols, enhancing universal health coverage.
5. **Adopt a Patient-Centered Approach:** Policies should recognize that patient satisfaction and cultural trust are legitimate dimensions of health care quality. Integrating these aspects into health planning will improve responsiveness to community needs.
6. **Regional Harmonization:** A South Asia-wide platform for CAM regulation and research, potentially under SAARC or WHO-SEARO, could facilitate shared standards and cross-country learning.

References

- Bell IR, Koithan M. A model for homeopathic remedy effects: low dose nanoparticles, allostatic cross-adaptation, and time-dependent sensitization in a complex adaptive system. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2012;12:191. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-191
- Central Council for Research in Homoeopathy. *Annual Report 2020–2021*. New Delhi: Ministry of AYUSH, Government of India; 2021.
- Ernst E, Pittler MH. Safety of homeopathy: an evidence-based review of adverse effects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002;54(6):577-582. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2125.2002.01699.x
- Ernst E. Homeopathy: what does the “best” evidence tell us? *Med J Aust*. 2010;192(8):458-460. doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.2010.tb03520.x
- Frass M, Friehs H, Thallinger C, et al. Influence of adjunctive classical homeopathy on global health status and subjective well-being in cancer patients: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Oncologist*. 2015;20(2):124-130. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2014-0356
- Malik F, Ghaffar A, Saeed T, et al. Patterns of alternative medicine use in Pakistan. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2019;25(2):92-100. doi:10.26719/emhj.18.011
- Mathie RT, Lloyd SM, Legg LA, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualized homeopathic treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev*. 2014;3:142. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-3-142
- National Council for Tibb and Homeopathy. *Homeopathy Regulation in Pakistan*. Islamabad: Ministry of National Health Services; 2020.
- Sharma B, Patil S, Singh A. Utilization of homeopathy and patient satisfaction in India: results from a national survey. *J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med*. 2018;23(2):245-252. doi:10.1177/2156587217749057
- World Health Organization. *WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2014–2023*. Geneva: WHO; 2013.